

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,365	12/12/2003	David Proulx JR.	225518	1813
23460	460 7590 10/03/2005		EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD			KIM, CHRISTOPHER S	
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE		4900	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780			3752	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/735,365	PROULX, DAVID			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher S. Kim	3752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status	•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar					
Disposition of Claims		•			
 4) Claim(s) 1,2,6-12 and 14-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,6-12 and 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The response filed July 27, 2005 is acknowledged.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Election/Restrictions

3. Newly submitted claims 17-20 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the method as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus which does not require a drain member.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 17-20 withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1-3, 6-10, 12, 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lemetyinen et al. (5,664,731) in view of Dean et al. (4,404,507).

Lemetyinen discloses a shower head spray device comprising: an elongated spray deader 12; a drain opening 11; a valve 10. Lemetyinen discloses, in column 3,

Art Unit: 3752

line 58, a rotation means to rotate shaft 13 but does not discloses a motor. Motors are well known in the art for imparting rotational motion, e.g. electric drill/driver. Dean discloses a motor Mx and microprocessor based control circuit to rotate and translate screw 104. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used a motor to as the rotation means in the device of Lemetyinen as taught by Dean for automation.

5. Claims 1-3, 6-12, 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lemetyinen et al. (5,664,731) in view of Hunt et al. (5,048,282).

Lemetyinen discloses a shower head spray device comprising: an elongated spray deader 12; a drain opening 11; a valve 10. Lemetyinen discloses, in column 3, line 58, a rotation means to rotate shaft 13 but does not discloses a motor. Motors are well known in the art for imparting rotational motion, e.g. electric drill/driver. Hunt discloses a motor 52, drive pinion 51, drive gear 53 and microprocessor based control circuit (figure 19). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used a motor to as the rotation means in the device of Lemetyinen as taught by Hunt for automation.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed July 27, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by

Art Unit: 3752

combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Lemetyinen discloses a shower head with a cleaning brush and drain member. Lemetyinen does not particularly disclose a motor or a controller to rotate the brush. Dean and Hunt disclose motor and controller to impart rotational motion and control. It is in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize a microprocessor-based control circuit and motor to impart rotational motion to a cleaning brush. Kettlety et al. (4,867,870) and Wright et al. (6,073,861) are provided as evidence that such knowledge is generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3752

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-4905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher S. <u>Kim</u> Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752